CONCERNING THE OPTIMUM SCALING OF THE INDUCTIVE SUBSTITUENT CONSTANTS IN SUBSTITUTED BENZENE REACTIVITIES. ASPECTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF QUINUCLIDINE BASICITIES

Yuho TSUNO,\* Mizue FUJIO Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812, Japan Masami SAWADA,\* Yasuhide YUKAWA The Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan

<u>abstract</u>: Grob's basicity data sets of 4-substituted quinuclidines are successfully correlated with the LArSR Eq. 1, the correlation parameters of which indicate that the inductive substituent constants involved in  $\sigma_n^0$  just correspond to  $0.74\sigma_r$ .

The problem whether or not the inductive substituent constants ( $\sigma_{I}$ ) derived from saturated systems can be applied with the identical scale to the apparent substituent constants such as  $\sigma_{p}$  or  $\sigma_{p}^{o}$  from aromatic unsaturated systems is one of the most fundamental subjects in the separation analysis of substituent effects.<sup>1)</sup> The socalled scaling problem for  $\sigma_{I}$  is just the question of the magnitude of  $\gamma$  in the expression of  $\sigma_{p}^{o} = \gamma \sigma_{I} + \sigma_{R}^{o,2}$ . Essential importance of the subject is evident, because this magnitude is directly associated with the basis for definition of resonance substituent constants, as seen, for example, in Taft's DSP treatment:<sup>3)</sup>  $\overline{\sigma}_{R} = \overline{\sigma}_{p} - \sigma_{I}$ . In the separation analysis by Taft and his continuing development,  $\gamma$ =1.00

In the separation analysis by Taft and his continuing development,  $\gamma=1.00$  has been assumed at least as far as benzene reactivities are concerned, and its validity has been discussed.<sup>1)</sup> Exner suggested that the  $\sigma_{\rm I}$  constant is out of scale with  $\sigma_{\rm p}$  in the ionization of benzoic acids by a factor of 1.14 or 1.21.<sup>4)</sup> We have proposed  $\gamma$  to be 0.74, as the basis for the inductive substituent constants in the LSFE treatment.<sup>5)</sup> Thus, there exists still contention in the scaling problem.

Recently, Grob et al. reported the ionization constants of an extensive set of 4-substituted quinuclidinium perchlorates in water.<sup>6)</sup> In this paper, critical tests of the scaling problem for  $\sigma_{\rm I}$  are made by using the quinuclidine basicity sets and the important finding is stated that the inductive substituent constants involved in  $\sigma_{\rm p}^{\rm O}$  as well as in any ordinary sets of para substituent constants should be evaluated to be  $0.74\sigma_{\rm T}$  as an optimum scale.

4-Substituted[2.2.2]bicyclooctanecarboxylic acids have generally been accepted as the best model of the sigma skeleton of benzoic acids, though the member of substituents available is rather limited. In the same sence, 4substituted quinuclidines are regarded as the best model of pyridines, and the current basicity sets are satisfactory to allow critical assessment of the following statistical treatment.

The substituent effects on the quinuclidine basicity which is essentially free from the resonance effect of substituents may be correlated linearly with  $\sigma_{I}$  constants but this relationship only implies a linearity between two saturated inductive reactivity sets. The present analysis is based on the application of the ordinary LArSR Eq. 1<sup>7)</sup> to the saturated reactivities.

$$\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{\rho}} = \rho \left( \sigma^{\mathbf{O}} + \mathbf{r}^{\dagger} \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{r}^{-} \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-} \right)$$
(1)

Particularly noteworthy is that any saturated inductive scales are not involved in this treatment; all the substituent constants employed are those derived from aromatic reactivities. The basicity sets of quinuclidines are successfully correlated with the Eq. 1 in terms of a negative  $r^+$  value of -0.43 for pi-donor substituents and  $r^-=-0.83$  for pi-acceptors (Table 1). The resulted LArSR relationship is illustrated in Fig. 1 in which the resonance contribution is interpolated in a negative direction. The resonance effect is adequately eliminated from  $\sigma^{\circ}$  constant, and the remaining component is correlated in excellent precision linearly with the saturated reactivity data. The quantities  $(\sigma^{\circ}-0.43\Delta\bar{\sigma}_{R}^{+})$  for pi-donors and  $(\sigma^{\circ}-0.83\Delta\bar{\sigma}_{R}^{-})$  for pi-acceptors correspond to the inductive component involved in  $\sigma_{p}^{\circ}$ . The result implies the creation of the inductive scale applicable to saturated systems only from aromatic reactivity parameters.

Further, the same basicity sets are correlated also with the modified LArSR treatment based on  $\sigma_p$  instead of  $\sigma_p^o$  in Eq. 1. This treatment affords a relationship of the same  $\rho$  (=6.7) as that in the  $\sigma_p^o$  standard treatment only with a considerably large negative  $r^+$  value of -0.7. Thus, the same inductive scale can be reproduced by appropriately eliminating the resonance component involved in the  $\sigma_p$  in terms of  $r^{\pm} \Delta \sigma_{\rm R}^{\pm}$ .

The basicities of substituted pyridines and pyridine N-oxides were shown to be described precisely by means of the same LArSR treatment.<sup>5b,7b)</sup> In reference to the quinuclidine basicity as a standard of aromatic inductive effect, the substituent effects on the pyridines are characterized by the involvement of resonance effects to the extent of an  $r^+$  of 0.6 for pi-donors and  $r^-$  of 0.3 for pi-acceptors. The pyridine N-oxide set provides a higher  $r^+$  of 1.0 and  $r^-$  of 1.0 relative to the pyridine set, reflecting the specific functionality of the N-oxide unit; i.e., corresponding to the phenol functionality in the benzene system.

214

The most important fact is that the  $\rho$  value of 6.2 for the pyridine set<sup>5b)</sup> which can be defined explicitly from the m-correlation is essentially equal to the  $\rho$  value for the quinuclidine set. The equality of the  $\rho$  value in the saturated and the corresponding unsaturated systems is consistent with a socalled field transmission of the inductive effects. Thus, we can summarize the substituent effects on the quinuclidines by using the common  $\rho$  value between the quinuclidines and pyridines.

$$(\Delta pK_a)_{Qu} = 6.5 (\sigma^0 - 0.43\Delta \overline{\sigma}_R^+ - 0.83\Delta \overline{\sigma}_R^-) = 6.5 \sigma_i$$

Here, the  $\sigma_i$  is the inductive substituent constant newly defined from aromatic reactivities. The same data set can be treated with  $\sigma_I$  in the Taft scale from saturated reactivities as given by;<sup>8)</sup>  $(\Delta p K_a)_{Qu} = 4.6 \sigma_I$ . A special note should be taken of the fact that the  $\rho_I$  value is significantly lower than the  $\rho_m$  value for structurally equivalent pyridine system. Namely, there is significant gap in the Taft inductive scale between saturated and aromatic reactivities. Our  $\sigma_i$  scale may be referred to the Taft scale by  $\sigma_i = 0.74 \sigma_I$ ; i.e.,  $\gamma = 0.74$ . The present result provides a clear solution of the scaling problem on the basis of quinuclidine basicities. The optimum  $\gamma$  scale of the inductive substituent constants involved in  $\sigma_p^0$  from aromatic reactivities is concluded not to be 1.00 but to be 0.74.

From the general applicability of LArSR Eq. 1, we can derive a new expression of general reactivities based on the  $\sigma_i$  standard as

$$\log k/k_{o} = \rho \left(\sigma_{i} + R^{\dagger}\Delta\bar{\sigma}_{R}^{\dagger} + R^{-}\Delta\bar{\sigma}_{R}^{-}\right).$$

This is exactly the same as the LSFE Eq. by setting  $0.43\Delta\bar{\sigma}_R^+ \equiv \sigma_\pi^+$  and  $0.83\Delta\bar{\sigma}_R^- \equiv \sigma_\pi^{-,9)}$  Although a generalized form of substituent effect has sometimes been given as Eq. 2<sup>10)</sup> by combining Taft and our LArSR Eq's, the present result

System	Expression	R <sup>b)</sup>	(n) <sup>C)</sup>
Quinuclidine ( $\mu$ =0);	$\begin{array}{rcrcrcrcrc} 6.43 & (\sigma^{\rm O} - 0.43 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{+} - 0.83 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{-}) + 0.380 \\ 6.51 & (\sigma^{\rm O} - 0.43 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{+} - 0.83 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{-}) + 0.360 \\ 6.77 & (\sigma - 0.71 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{+} - 0.85 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{-}) + 0.311 \\ 6.86 & (\sigma - 0.72 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{+} - 0.84 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{-}) + 0.286 \\ 4.60 & \sigma_{\rm I} + 0.477 \\ 6.27 & (\sigma^{\rm O} + 0.20 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{+} - 0.48 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{-}) - 0.05 \\ 2.60 & (\sigma^{\rm O} + 0.59 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{+} + 0.22 \Delta \overline{\sigma}_{\rm R}^{-}) - 0.06 \end{array}$	0.996	(21)
Quinuclidine ( $\mu$ =0.1);		0.996	(21)
Quinuclidine ( $\mu$ =0);		0.995	(19)
Quinuclidine ( $\mu$ =0.1);		0.988	(19)
Quinuclidine ( $\mu$ =0);		0.985	(17)
Pyridine <sup>5b)</sup> ;		0.995	(29)
Pyridine N-oxide <sup>7b)</sup> ;		0.997	(20)

Table 1. Correlation Analysis of Basicities ( $\Delta pK_a$ ) of Quinuclidines<sup>a</sup>)

a) The substituent H is omitted for all quinuclidine correlations.

b) Correlation coefficient. c) Number of substituents.

evidently denies the necessity of any resonance substituent parameters other than  $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{R}^{+}$  and  $\Delta \overline{\sigma}_{R}^{-}$ .

 $\log k/k_{o} = \rho (\sigma_{I} + \sigma_{R}^{o} + r^{+}\Delta\bar{\sigma}_{R}^{+} + r^{-}\Delta\bar{\sigma}_{R}^{-}) \qquad (2)$ This is consistent with the result of statistical analysis by Nieuwdorp et al.<sup>10)</sup> that only three substituent constants are required to describe general reactivities.

Further work is in progress, confirming basis for our definition of the inductive and pi-electronic effect substituent constants in the LSFE treatment.



Fig. 1. The plots of  $\Delta p K_a$  of quinuclidines ( $\mu=0$ ) against  $\sigma^{\circ}$  ( $\bullet$ ) or ( $\sigma^{\circ}-1.0\Delta \overline{\sigma}_R^{+}$ ) (O) for pi-donors and  $\sigma^{\circ}$  ( $\bullet$ ) or ( $\sigma^{\circ}-1.0\Delta \overline{\sigma}_R^{-}$ ) ( $\diamond$ ) for pi-acceptors. A correlation line by LARSR Eq. 1 is drawn.

## References.

1) J. Shorter, "Correlation Analysis in Chemistry", ed. by N. B. Chapman and J. Shorter, Plenum Press(1978), Chap. 4. 2) P. R. Wells, S. Ehrenson, and R. W. Taft, "Progress in Physical Organic Chemistry", 6, 147(1968). 3) S. Ehrenson, R. T. C. Brownlee, and R. W. Taft, *ibid.*, 10, 1(1973). 4) O. Exner, *Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 31, 65(1966); *ibid.*, 41, 569(1976). 5) a) Y. Tsuno, M. Sawada, T. Fujii, and Y. Yukawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 52, 3033(1979); b) M. Sawada, M. Ichihara, Y. Yukawa, T. Nakachi, and Y. Tsuno, *ibid.*, 53, 2055(1980). 6) C. A. Grob, B. Schaub, and M. G. Schlageter, *Helv. Chim. Acta.*, 63, 57(1980). 7) a) Y. Yukawa, Y. Tsuno et al., *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 39, 2274(1966); b) M. Sawada, Y. Tsuno et al., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 41, 4013(1980). 8) R. W. Taft et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 96, 1236(1974). 9) In a previous paper, we defined the  $\sigma_{\pi}$  value to be  $0.73\Delta\sigma_{R}$ , but this different r value comes from different definition of previous  $\Delta\bar{\sigma}_{R}$  parameter values. 10) G. H. E. Nieuwdorp et al., *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin* 2, 537(1979).